






THE LIBRARY
OF
THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA
DAVIS













STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

CULBERT L. OLSON, Governor FRANK W. CLARK, Director of Public Works EDWARD HYATT, State Engineer

BULLETIN No. 21-K

REPORT

ON

IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

IN

CALIFORNIA

For the Year 1939




DISTRIBUTED BY
SUPERVISOR OF DOCUMENTS
214 STATE CAPITOL, SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA
PRICE 75 CENTS EACH, POSTPAID



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ORGANIZATION FOREWORD	iii iv
REVIEW OF DISTRICT OPERATIONS The Growing Season of 1939	2
IRRIGATION DISTRICTS ASSOCIATION Meeting at Sacramento	6 7
DISTRICTS SECURITIES COMMISSION Investigations and Reports	9 10 11 12 14
DISTRICTS DISSOLVED IN 1939 Moorpark Conejo Irrigation District	16
STATISTICAL INFORMATION Source of Supply, Storage and Distribution of Water Irrigation District Crop Summary	18 19 20 22
TABLES OF STATISTICAL DATA	
I Data on Water Supply	25
II Crop Report for the Year 1939	27
III Summary of Assessments and Collections	29
IV Status of Bonds and Warrants	31
V Directory of District Offices	36



ORGANIZATION

CULBERT L.	OLSON Governor of California
FRANK W. CL	ARK Director of Public Works
EDWARD HYAT	T State Engineer
	0
	This report was prepared by
	Inis report was prepared by
	J. H. PEASLEE
	Associate Hydraulic Engineer
	Assistant
	Alexander White, Delineator
	0



FOREWORD

This publication is one of a series of annual reports issued by the Division of Water Resources to cover the activities of districts formed under the California Irrigation District Act. Previous reports are printed in Bulletin No. 21, published in 1929, and its supplements 21-A to 21-J inclusive containing subsequent data. Bulletin 21-K is the twelfth report of the series and presents information collected for the year 1939.

The material has been compiled largely from reports submitted by individual districts to the California Districts

Securities Commission, and from records on file in the office of the State Engineer. Figures have been carefully checked and are believed to be substantially correct although there may be minor inaccuracies, in certain cases. It will be appreciated if any discrepancies noted are brought to our attention in order that corrections may be made in later reports.

The bulletins are issued for the purpose of preserving in convenient form the annual records of these organizations. They also serve to supply information to the public regarding the operations and financial transactions of the districts in general. An expression of appreciation is extended by this office to irrigation district officials and others who assisted in gathering the information for publication.



REVIEW OF DISTRICT OPERATIONS

A survey of statistical information gathered on California Irrigation Districts for the year 1939 indicates in general a season of successful operations. Annual reports were received and analyzed from eighty-four active districts. There were no new districts organized during this period. One inactive district was dissolved.

Reorganization and refinancing programs that had been in progress by a number of districts were continued, but final settlement in most cases awaited confirmation of plans by the Federal Courts. Favorable decisions for the districts rendered by the U. S. District Courts had been appealed.

Seventy-three of the districts listed had a total of \$90,240,000 in outstanding bonds. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation held \$36,648,600 of the old securities and \$3,504,700 in new refunding issues as evidence of loans advanced to thirty-three districts for refinancing purposes.

Thirty-four districts reported a total of 16,607 acres of land sold back to private ownership and restored to the assessment rolls during the year. The average percentage of delinquency in payment of assessments for all districts as a group was slightly higher than that reported the year before,



but was only 11.5 per cent as computed by the total amount of tax certificates sold.

The total revenue collected by districts from all sources was \$15,058,800, while total expenditures were listed as \$13,895,900 during the year.


The total cropped area in all districts reporting was 1,828,600 acres, of which 1,733,600 acres were irrigated and 95,000 acres were dry farmed. There were also 288,000 irrigated acres reported double cropped making a total of 2,021,600 irrigated acres harvested during the year.

The Growing Season of 1939

Considering the great variety of crops and wide distribution of producing areas in California, the growing season of 1939 was at least up to average.

Vegetation received a late start because of a cold winter and cold early spring. The season was also marked by a deficiency of rainfall over the central and northern parts of the state, although rainfall in the southern counties was not so far below the local normals.

Total precipitation for the state as recorded by the U. S. Weather Bureau was only 67 per cent, and total snowfall 89 per cent of the 43-year average. The deficiency in rainfall was greatest during the first two and last two months of the year. Snowfall was moderately heavy during the first



three months, but little fell thereafter.

Summer irrigation waters were less than desired in some localities although most of the plantings within the irrigation districts were well supplied. The effects of soil moisture shortages were more in evidence in those areas that did not depend on summer irrigation to mature their crops.

On the whole favorable weather conditions outweighed unfavorable ones. Temperatures were generally moderate during the long growing season and crop yields were classed as fair to good at harvest time.

Farm Operations

A review of statistics gathered by the California Cooperative Crop Reporting Service for 1939 indicates in general a successful season of farm operations in all branches of the industry.

Most fruits came into blossom quite early. There were no large losses from spring frosts, rain interference at blossom time, nor extreme destruction from plant diseases or insects. Total fruit and nut production was a little less in tonnege than that obtained the previous year, but the total farm value was about 15 per cent greater because of better prices received.

The major field crops produced in 1939 had a value about 12 per cent in excess of the corresponding total for



1938, but income from this group of crops still remained at a comparatively low level. The total acreage harvested was slightly less than that reported the previous year. Production volume was high, despite deficient seasonal rainfall over much of the state, and higher prices received for several crops accounted for the increase in total value.

Cotton growers made a new high average yield per acre that stood out as phenomenal. The average yield for the state as a whole was equivalent to 648 pounds of lint per acre, the highest annual average attained since cotton became an important crop.

Vegetable and melon crops in 1939 showed a greater total value of production, but the increased income was due to larger planted acreage rather than to higher unit returns. The growers had few highly profitable crops, although production per acre held close to the average. Lettuce continued to be the most valuable crop produced, with tomatoes, cantaloupes, asparagus and peas ranking in the order named.


Range and pasture conditions during the year were unfavorable in many sections due to the light rainfall. Adverse conditions were more pronounced in the northern and central parts of the state, but conditions did not become acute. Stock men found it necessary to use large quantities of hay and supplemental feeds to maintain animals up until mid-winter.



Feed supplies were abundant, however, and prices not excessive, although operating costs were substantially increased by lack of green pasture.

The total marketings of California cattle in 1939 were less than in either of the two preceding years. Shipments to other states were small. Ordinarily California operators ship in a large volume of feeders from other states, but such inshipments were lower than for 1938 or 1937.

After the turn of the year general rains and extremely mild weather brought on new feed at lower elevations and offset to a degree the adverse factors prevailing during the late fall months of 1939.



IRRIGATION DISTRICTS ASSOCIATION

The Irrigation Districts Association of California was formed about 1910 for the purpose of furthering the interests of various types of districts engaged in the distribution of water for irrigation. It now has a membership of over one hundred such districts operating primarily under the Irrigation District Act, but including also county water districts, water districts and reclamation districts, located in thirty-five counties of the state. The purposes of the association are to strengthen the districts legally, financially, and economically, and it takes an active interest in legislation affecting their welfare. Two meetings of the association are usually held each year for discussion of matters of common interest to the organizations.

Meeting at Sacramento

The first meeting in 1939 was held March 9th and 10th, at Sacramento. Subjects under review included a number of important decisions of the Supreme Court affecting rights and privileges of irrigation districts. The Association had filed briefs as amicus curiae in each case because of the interest of all districts in the matters at issue.

The refinancing of districts, and composition proceedings under the National Bankruptcy Act were discussed.




The favorable decision of the U. S. District Court in the bankruptcy proceedings of Merced Irrigation District, rendered January 10, 1939, was regarded of particular importance, and as setting a precedent for similar pending cases of other districts.

Proposed Federal flood control projects in cooperation with the state and its political subdivisions were matters of interest to many districts. A resolution was passed approving the flood control policy adopted by the California State Chamber of Commerce on December 2, 1938. The committee on flood control was enlarged to more adequately represent all sections of the state.

Consideration was given to a large number of bills affecting irrigation districts that had been introduced in the State Legislature, then in session at Sacramento. The Association went on record as approving or disapproving each of the measures as they were taken up.

Meeting at San Francisco

The second regular meeting of the Association convened in San Francisco October 19th and 20th, 1939, at which time a report was made on certain new laws and amendments to district acts that had been passed by the last Legislature and were then in effect.



A paper was presented on the methods and practice of assessing lands and fixing water tolls to provide irrigation district revenues. Discussion brought out the wide variation of policies in force by different districts. Stress was laid upon the importance of fixing equitable valuations on the land for assessment purposes. A combination of assessments for bond service, and water tolls for operating expenses was found to best meet requirements of many areas.

A report was made by the committee on flood control. The Association adopted a resolution endorsing and approving the investigation and survey of streams in California, for flood control purposes, by the United States Army Engineers and recommended that such dams and other control works found advisable be constructed by the Federal Government.

The State Engineer addressed the convention reviewing the activities of his office affecting the districts and also outlined the progress of the Central Valley Project.



DISTRICTS SECURITIES COMMISSION

The present District Securities Commission composed of five members succeeded to the powers and duties of the former Bond Certification Commission with the passage of a new act in 1931.

The activities of the Commission in connection with the issuance, certification, sale and refunding of irrigation district bonds are covered by sections 30 to 32f of the Irrigation District Act and by provisions of the Districts Securities Commission Act itself. Several other types of districts organized and existing under laws of the State whose primary functions are the irrigation, reclamation or drainage of lands, may also request Commission approval of their bonds for certification as legal investments for public funds and other specified purposes.

Investigations and Reports by Commission

The Irrigation District Act provides that before the board of directors of an irrigation district may call an election for the issuance of bonds to raise funds for construction or acquisition of works, it must first submit plans and estimates of cost to the Districts Securities Commission for a report on the feasibility of the undertaking. After making an investigation, if the Commission deems it advisable




that plans be modified, or the amount of the proposed bond issue changed, or that certain conditions should be prescribed to insure success of the project, or that in its opinion it is not advisable to proceed with the proposed bond issue, a report to that effect is made to the board of directors.

Supervision of Expenditures from Bond Proceeds

After bonds have been issued and certified as provided in the act, no liability may be incurred by a district
until a schedule of proposed expenditures from the proceeds
has been filed with and approved by the Commission. No expenditure may be made for any purpose not specified in the schedule,
nor for any approved purpose in excess of the amount allowed in
such schedule, without obtaining prior consent of the Commission.

During the progress of any work to be paid for from the proceeds of a certified bond issue, the State Engineer on behalf of the Commission is required to make from time to time such inspections of the project as may be necessary in order to determine that the plans approved by the Commission are being carried out without material modification.

Whenever the plans of a district provide that the works necessary for a completed project shall be constructed progressively over a period of years in accordance with a schedule adopted by resolution of the board of directors, the bonds so voted and certified, or any portion of them, shall



only be sold after prior written approval of the Commission.

Control and Direction of Districts in Default

Since passage of the new act in 1931 a large proportion of the work of the Commission has been in connection with the reorganization and refinancing of districts in default. Section 11 of the District Securities Commission Act, as amended, provides that whenever the revenues that can be raised by a district are insufficient to meet bond principal or interest payments and the district defaults to the extent of 50 per cent of the amount due, the defaulting district may under certain conditions come under the control and direction of the Commission. Thereafter such district continues to operate under the Commission's guidance until the amount that can be raised by annual assessment, together with all other revenues, is sufficient to pay off any matured or unrefunded obligation. ing assessments, with approval of the Commission, the district may levy only for such total amounts as appear reasonably possible for the lands to pay without exceeding a delinquency of 15 per cent.

This section was adopted as an emergency measure at the Legislative Session of 1933 and was designed to check the pyramiding of delinquencies that occurred during the depression, when the burden of succeeding annual assessments threatened the confiscation of lands through the process of tax sales. Each




legislature has since extended the life of the provision, which now runs until November 1, 1941. The terms remain essentially the same as when it was first enacted, except that the extent of delinquency necessary before a district may come under Commission control was raised from 20 to 50 per cent at the Legislative Session of 1939.

Districts Operating under Provision of Section Eleven

Twenty-eight defaulting districts have operated under the provisions of Section 11 since it was enacted. Thirteen of these districts have been successful in refinancing their outstanding debts or making other adjustments and are no longer under supervision of the Commission.

Fifteen districts were still operating under Commission control at the close of the year. A number of them were well advanced toward completion of their refunding programs. Loans received from the Reconstruction Finance Corporation had been disbursed to take up a large percentage of the old securities at a discount, and suits were pending in the U. S. District Courts for confirmation of plans of debt composition in order that terms might be made applicable to nonassenting bondholders as well as those who favored reorganization.

The following tables list the districts showing the manner in which defaults were corrected, and the status of those that were still in the process of completing their reorganization plans.



DISTRICTS CURED OF DEFAULT
AFTER OPERATING UNDER SUPERVISION OF THE COMMISSION

District	Period under Com- mission	Manner of Default Correction
:Alpaugh	1934-35	Through loan from RFC @ 48c/\$
: :Big Springs	1933-37	Through loan from RFC @ 42¢/\$
:Carmichael:	1933-38	Through loan from RFC @ 65¢/\$; plus 10¢ from district funds Total 75¢/\$
Cordua	1933-34	Through loan from RFC @ 39¢/\$
Fairoaks	1 934-3 8	Through loan from RFC @ 75¢/\$ plus 15¢ from district funds Total 90¢/\$
:Jacinto	1933-35	Through loan from RFC @ 602/\$
La Mesa, L.G. & S.V.	1933-34	Through loan from RFC @ 65¢/\$
Newport Mesa	1936-37	Through agreement with bond- holders for temporary reduc- tion of interest.
:Oakdale	1934-37	Through loan from RFC @ 50¢/\$
:San Dieguito	1933-37	Through loan from RFC @ 52¢/\$
Santa Fe	1933-36	Through loan from RFC @ 521/\$; plus 1¢ from district funds - Total 53.5¢/\$
:West Side	1933-39	Through loan from RFC @ 50¢/\$



DISTRICTS OPERATING UNDER THE COMMISSION DURING THE YEAR 1939

District	Bonds Outstanding	Status as of January 2, 1940
:Banta Carbona	\$1,137,060	:district funds - Total 61¢/\$. Over
:Byron-Bethany	569,000	:district funds - Total 70¢/\$. Over:
:Corcoran	733,000	:district funds - Total 75¢/\$. Over:
:El Camino	423,000	:costs so high that consummation of:
:Grenada	136,000	:acceptable plan is doubtful. :Loan obtained by issuance of war- :rants sufficient to pay 18¢/\$. All: :bonds cancelled except \$14,000 in
: :James	995,000	:escrow. :Loan from RFC 25¢/\$. Over 92% of :loan disbursed on this basis. RFC
:Lindsay-Strathmore	1,427,000	:holds \$965,000 of old bonds. :Loan from RFC 60¢/\$. Over 88% of :loan disbursed on this basis. RFC
:Merced	16,191,000	:holds \$1,262,500 of old bonds. :Loan from RFC $51\frac{1}{2}$ /\$. Over 87% of :loan disbursed on this basis. RFC
:Montague	1,395,000	:consideration for issuance of war- :rants in exchange for bonds, based
:Naglee-Burk	186,000	:on ability to pay. :Loan from RFC 32\$\delta/\$. About 76% of :bonds deposited in acceptance. No
:Oroville-Wyandotte	1,095,000	:loan disbursed on this basis. RFC
Provident	957,000	:bonds deposited in acceptance.Dis-
Thermalito	320,000	:bursal has not been made. :Loan from RFC $37\frac{1}{2}\phi/\$$. Over 88% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds \$309,000 of old bonds.
Tracy-Clover	52,170	Loan from RFC, $35\frac{1}{2}$ \$\vert\$/\$. Over 90\% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds \$47,170 of old bond issue
Waterford	631,925	:Loan from RFC $49 \phi/\$$ plus $10 \frac{1}{2} \phi/\$$: from district funds - Total $59 \frac{1}{2} \phi/\$$: About 88% of bonds deposited in :acceptance. Plan awaits confirmation by Federal courts.



DEFAULTING DISTRICTS NOT OPERATING UNDER COMMISSION

District	Bonds Outstanding	: Status as of January 2, 1940
Anderson-Cottonwood	:	: :Loan from RFC authorized sufficient to pay 304/\$, plus :1.24/\$ from district funds - Total 31.24/\$. Proposal ac- :cepted by 85% of bondholders. Completion of project de- :pendent on confirmation of plan by Federal courts.
Baxter Creek	511,000	:Loan refused by RFC. All efforts to refinance have failed :Irrigation works badly deteriorated. Water right applications cancelled. District inactive.
Butte Valley	594,000	Loans from RFC authorized sufficient to pay 62/\$. Holders of 85% of outstanding bonds have agreed to accept offer. Completion dependent on confirmation of play by Federal Courts.
Compton-Delevan	384,000	District has taken tax deed to all of the lands. Negotiations with bond holders is underway.
El Dorad	688,000	Loan from RFC sufficient to pay 50.5¢/\$. Over 91% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds \$664,000 of the old bonds. Completion of project awaits confirmation of plan by Federal courts.
Glenn-Colusa	1,248,000	:Loan from RFC sufficient to pay $56\frac{1}{2}d/\$$, plus $10\frac{1}{2}d/\$$ from :district funds. Total approximately $67d/\$$. RFC holds :\\$1,208,353 of the old bonds. Completion of project awaits :confirmation of plan by Federal courts.
Imperial	17,505,000	Attempt to obtain acceptance of bondholders to modification of previous refunding plan has been delayed by litigation. It is anticipated that a sound reorganization will be effected within the next two years.
:Littlerock Creek	358,000	:Loan from RFC authorized sufficient to pay $29\frac{1}{2}d/\$$. Over :96% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds \$355,200 of the old bonds. Completion awaits confirmation of plan by :Federal courts.
: Maxwell	234,000	:District has taken tax deed to all of the lands. All bonds purchased and held by a pool of former land owners.
:Newport Heights	: 150,400 :	:Loan from RFC sufficient to pay $62\frac{1}{2}d/$ \$. Over 90% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds \$135,200 of the old bonds. Completion of project awaits confirmation of plan by Federal courts.
:Palo Verde	4,174,330 :	Loan from RFC sufficient to pay $25 d/\$$. Over 97% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds $\$4,061,830$ of the old bonds. Completion of project awaits confirmation of plan by Federal courts.
: :Paradise : :	476,000	:Loan from RFC sufficient to pay $52\frac{1}{8}d/$ \$. Over 92% of loan :disbursed on this basis. RFC holds \$447,000 of the old :bonds. Completion of project awaits confirmation of plan :by Federal courts.
:South San Joaquin : :	5,806,250	: Loan from RFC sufficient to pay $68\frac{1}{6}/\$$, plus $5\frac{1}{6}/\$$ from district funds - Total $74\frac{1}{6}$. Over 90% of loan disbursed on this basis. RFC holds $\$5,231,050$ of the old bonds. Completion of project awaits confirmation of plan by Federal courts.
Stinson	360,000	: Practically entire district area in one holding. Refinanc- ing does not appear possible.
:Table Mountain	187,000	: District defaulted in 1930. Refinancing does not appear :possible
Tule	806,000	: To date all efforts to refinance have failed. Irrigation works badly deteriorated. District now inactive. Water right applications cancelled.
Williams	562,000	: Williams Irrigation District merged with Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District in 1923. Williams bonds have been in default since 1928. Refinancing does not appear possible.



DISTRICTS DISSOLVED IN 1939

Moorpark-Conejo Irrigation District in Ventura County, formed in 1925 without approval of the State Engineer, was dissolved by decree of the Superior Court of Ventura County on November 2, 1939.

The plans of this district contemplated the development of storage on the headwaters of Sespe and Piru Creeks tributary to the Santa Clara River. The scheme included the development of power and the conveyance of water from the north to the south side of the Santa Clara River Valley by means of a long inverted siphon terminating in a tunnel through South Mountain.

The project met with opposition on the part of water users from Sespe and Piru creeks, and also from land owners in the main Santa Clara Valley, who objected to diversions being carried outside of the natural drainage area. To settle the conflicting contentions that arose, an extensive investigation was finally undertaken by the Division of Water Resources, financed equally by Ventura County and the State, to determine whether it was possible to divert this water without detriment to the Santa Clara Valley interests. The investigation covered a period of five years in the field and was very complete.

The results of the study which were published in 1933 indicated that the reservoir sites proposed by the district were



less feasible than had been thought; that the water supply was considerably less than first estimated; that the power development was not practical; and that the cost of a conduit across Santa Clara Valley and through the mountain would be excessive.

Plans of the district were abandoned and its water right applications were cancelled without prejudice on August 7, 1934. No alternate plans were developed and the district remained inactive until its final dissolution was brought about. At the time of dissolution the district had no debts. A balance of some \$1400.00 remaining in the treasury together with other assets and effects were turned over to the County officials as provided by statute.



STATISTICAL INFORMATION

The tables following this section contain a summary of information reported for the year 1939. They cover field operations during that period and the financial status of each active irrigation district at the close of the year. A brief discussion of these tables brings out the changes that have taken place and compares total figures presented with those of previous reports.

Source of Supply, Storage and Distribution of Water

Table I gives the sources of water supply, capacities of reservoirs, water storage available for the season of 1939, the amount of water diverted by gravity and by district pumps, and the distribution of that water. Also listed are the number of district wells in operation, the horsepower installed for pumping and the average pumping lift.

There were twenty-one major storage reservoirs operated by irrigation districts during the season with a combined capacity of about 1,102,000 acre feet. The stored water available amounted to 950,300 acre feet or 86 per cent of the available reservoir capacity. The total amount of water diverted by all districts was reported as 7,934,400 acre feet, of which approximately $85\frac{1}{2}$ per cent was by gravity, $9\frac{1}{2}$ per cent by pumping from streams and 5 per cent by pumping from district wells.



The districts operated 321 irrigation wells and 337 drainage wells, and for all pumping operations reported an installation of 39,889 horsepower. The supply of water furnished by the districts was in some cases supplemented by private pumping, but no records are available as to the amounts of water obtained from the 12,151 private wells listed within district areas.

Irrigation District Crop Summary

Table II shows the gross and irrigable areas of 96 irrigation districts. It gives the irrigated and dry-cropped acreage reported for 1939 as well as a segregation of crops grown in the various areas. The estimated number of individual land holdings in each district is shown, and the amount of land that was held in district ownership by virtue of tax deeds as of January 1, 1940.

The districts listed contain a total of 3,505,093 acres, of which 2,915,820 acres, or 83 per cent, are considered to be irrigable land. The total number of separate holdings in these districts was reported to be 96,527 and over 59,500 are listed as farm holdings with a population of about 259,000.

The total area held by districts under tax deeds remained about the same as reported the year before. The percentage of the total area of all districts held under tax deed



on January 1, 1940, was 9.8 per cent as compated with 9.7 per cent the previous year. The apparent increase was due to added areas in districts not previously listed.

Many of the districts have been successful in disposing of these lands to new owners and getting them back on the tax rolls. In other cases the lands are leased by the districts on a cash or crop-share basis to meet a part of their bond service and operating costs.

The total cropped area in all districts reporting in 1939 was 1,828,671 acres of which 1,733,628 acres were irrigated and 95,043 acres were dry farmed. There were also 288,057 irrigated acres reported double cropped making a total of 2,021,685 irrigated acres harvested during the season. Approximately 60 per cent of the irrigable area was irrigated, this figure remaining about the same as that reported for the preceding year.

Following is a summary of the kind and acreage of irrigated crops including double crops reported for the season of 1939.

Kind of Crop	Acres	Per cent of Total
Alfalfa and Ladino Clover Cotton Rice Grain and Grain Hay Field and Truck Grapes Deciduous Fruits, Nuts, Olives Citrus and Avocados Irrigated Pasture Not Segregated	449,693 50,573 49,508 281,834 494,002 126,772 129,209 37,234 52,321 350,539	22.2 2.5 2.4 14.0 24.5 6.3 6.4 1.8 2.6 17.3
Total	2,021,685	100.0



Assessments, Tax Certificates, Receipts and Expenditures

Table III sets up the principal sources and amounts of income for each active irrigation district. Assessments are usually payable in two installments and the total amounts due from all districts for the calendar year 1939, computed by taking half the sum of levies made for 1938-39 and 1939-40, was \$4,785,948. This was a decrease of \$55,860 in the computed amount levied for the previous calendar year.

A comparison of the total assessments levied during the past nine years and the apparent average delinquencies in payment as shown by the amount of tax certificates sold is given in the following table:

Assessment	Total	Total Tax	Average Per Cent
Year	Assessments	Certificates Sold	of Delinquency
1930-31 1931-32 1932-33 1933-34 1934-35 1935-36 1936-37 1937-38	10,286,582 9,794,594 6,802,006 4,535,253 4,638,001 5,167,802 4,851,696 4,896,779 4,893,499	2,387,518 3,748,372 3,681,896 1,589,926 1,443,583 746,207 511,815 478,085 560,583	23 38 54 35 31 14 11 10

The total amount of tax certificates sold in 1939 was about 17 per cent more than reported for the preceding year. This marks a reversal of the trend of the past six years which has shown a steady decrease in the amount of tax certificates sold. The average delinquency for all districts as a group was 11.5 per cent in 1939, which is well within



the 15 per cent usually anticipated by districts in setting their annual assessment rates.

Collections from assessments, redemptions and penalties in 1939 amounted to \$4,855,570; water tolls and power sales were \$5,773,448; receipts from rentals, crop shares and miscellaneous sources added \$4,077,319; while return from sale of district owned land brought in \$352,489, making a grand total of \$15,058,826 collected from all sources during the year. Expenditures for all purposes during this same period were reported to be \$13,895,914 as shown by the last column of the table.

Bonds and Warrants

Table IV presents the status of irrigation district bonds and registered warrants outstanding on January 1, 1940. Seventy-three districts are listed with outstanding bonds and fifteen with interest bearing warrants. Thirty-one districts still show overque and unpaid obligations in some amount although in twenty of these cases refinancing loans have been made by the Reconstruction Finance Corporation and final settlements are pending confirmation of plans by the courts.

The tabulation shows the amount of bonds sold, refunded, paid and outstanding as well as the amounts of principal and interest of the old issues in default.

Where loans from the R.F.C. have been disbursed to



purchase old securities at a discount, the amounts of the outstanding loans are shown as well as the bonds taken up and held by R.F.C. A comparison between the columns showing the bonds outstanding and bonds held by R.F.C. indicates the extent to which refunding plans have been completed as of January 1, 1940.

Refunding bonds to cover the R.F.C. loans have been voted by the districts in most cases, but they will not be issued until practically all of the old securities have been taken up for cancellation. Until these transactions are completed, the old bonds must be carried on the books as outstanding obligations of the districts and they are shown accordingly in the tabulation. This does not represent the true financial condition in any particular case, for the old bonds are merely held by R.F.C. for repayment upon the same terms that would be the case if refunding bonds had been issued. The actual capital debt is that represented by the loan, on which interest is being paid at 4 per cent, plus the value of old bonds that have not been deposited under the plan.

As of January 1, 1940, the seventy-three districts listed had a total of \$90,240,234 in outstanding bonds. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation held \$3,504,768 in new refunding issues and \$36,648,664 in old bonds as security for loans that had been advanced to thirty-three of the districts in refinancing their obligations.



TABLES OF STATISTICAL DATA

I	Water Supply	25	-	2
II	Crop Reports	27	-	28
III	Assessments	29	-	31
IV	Bonds and Warrants	31	_	3.
V	Directory of Districts	36	_	3'



TABLE I

DATA RELATING TO SOURCE OF SUPPLY, STORACE AND DISTRIBUTION OF WATER FOR CALIFORNIA IRRIDATION DISTRICTS

JANUARY 1, 1940

			ا د									10111	
	Se 10	Outsids	Acre-fre	148	63		2,450	300	24,172	774,581		110	
Distribution of Water	n of Water District	Boundary	Total Acre-faet	14,512 94,074 159,192 40,585 25,692	3,732 5,390 19,742 2,400 16,088	5,000 4,139 1,776 8 5,000 6,338	137,550 21,712 9,815 e 3,600	29,042 4,850 13,907 2,721 5,000	457,900 5,512	18,000 2,757,015 2,450 21,343	36,756 2,000 26,000	3,803	1,874
	Distribution of Water Diverted by Districts	District Bou	Domestis Acre-fast		338			1,800	s 1,550	1	450	**	246
	AA	Inside Di	Irriga- tion Acre-feet	14,512 94,074 139,192 40,585 25,692	2,394 19,742 2,400 16,088	5,000 1,1139 1,776 6,338	137,550 21,712 9,815 6 5,600	29,042 4,850 12,107 5,000	5,100 325,898 437,900 3,512	2,757,015 2,450 21,343	2,000	2,803	17,146
	£ 0		Total	14,680 94,074 139,192 43,539 25,692	5,752 5,390 19,742 2,400 16,151	5,000 4,139 1,776 0 5,000	140,000 28,686 11,472 • 3,800	35,694 4,850 14,107 6,396 5,000	4,850 350,070 437,900 3,512	18,000 3,531,595 2,450 21,373	2,000	5,675	17,968
	Acrs-fast of Weter Diverted in 1939	3	Oravity	94,074 139,192 25,692	19,742	5,000	140,000 21,370 11,472 • 3,600	14,107 4,092 5,000	54,560 543,070 6,484	18,000	2,000 2,000 28,000	3,179	1,874
	Acrs-f	į.	Pump	14,660	5,390 5,390 2,000 16,151	4,139	7,296	35,894	7,000	* 21,373	36,566	2,496	11,769
	irs	Storage	Acre-feet		420	2,286	0 1 1 1 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	1,275	3 8 8 8 8 3 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	40,000	009	20,880	1,825
	Reservoirs		Acre-fast	1 5 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	420	5,000		1,450		75,000	900	55,59	4,500
	Plants	1	Lift In feet	76 117	100	170	81	89	30 30		800	150	50 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
JANUARY I, 1920	District Plants	\vdash	Rorse	800 115 4,350	720 525 180 1,205	500	9	2,320	210 210 275 650		1,335	1,080	1,520
JANUAR			Private	2081	0	8 1 CU 1 5 1 6 8 9 8 8 9 9 8 8 9 9 8 8 9	3,700	202	3,500	140	3000	250	1,800
	Pumps		Total	83	20	01	14	18 16 6	1		67	T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0
	Walls and	District	Drein- age	8 6 0 8 7 7 7 8 8 3 8 8 8 8 8 9 8 6 8 8 8 8 6 8 8) [1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		5 8 8 6 8 9 7 8 9 9 8 9 8 9 8 8 8 9 8 9 1 8 9 8 9 1 8 9 8 9	10				5 7 8 0 1 5 6 8 0 5 6 8 0 5 8 8 8 1 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	
			Irriga- tion	6 1 6 1	10	Cd	17	118	6	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	67		O 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Rame of District Source of Mater Supply			Minge River	Little San Gorgonio Gresk & Wells BLg Springs	11141	Kings River, Cross Creek and Wells Nubs River	Old River (San Joaquin River) District Wells South Fork American R. & Webber Cr Walls & purchase from Forced I.D Kings River and Wells	Private Mells American River (North Pork Ditch Co.) Kings River and Walls Sachmanto River and Stant Great	San Beoito River and Wells	Kings River, San Joaquin River, & Wells Kings River and Wells Caryons, Tunisls, Wells Kings River	District Wells	Kawesh River and Wells Litt'scook Treek and Wells Kings R. (Last Chancs Water Ditch Co.) Privats Walls, Presno River (N.C. &l.C.) Sacramento River (Glenn Colusa I.C.)
				Alpaugh	Beaumont Big Springs Serving Wallsy Butte Valley Butte Valley Byron-Bethany Syron-Bethany Syron-Beth	Camp Far WestCarmichaslCarponterCarponterCatrus BeightsCompton-Paleyan	Consolidated	Enst Contra Costa El Gamino El Mido En Mido Empire Mest 31de	Exeter Fair Cake Freshor Colust Colus	Bollistsr Bollstan Bollstan Bot Spring Valley Borls Borls Bollstan Balanto Bacinto Bac	James	Lakeside and S.V Lamoors Lindmore	Lindsay-Strathmors Littlescok Gresk Lucerne Madara

* Pumped water purchased from Olann-Colusa Irrigation District. (e) Estimated diversion and use of water from best information aveilable.



TABLE I (CONTINUED)

DATA RELATING TO SOURCE OF SUPPLY, STORACE AND DISTRIBUTION OF WATER FOR CALIFORNIA IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

JANUARY 1, 1940

		Outside	Acre-feet	22,289	14,140		93,000		5 1 4 9 8 3 6 6 8 8 5 7 8 9 8 5 8 9 8 9 8 5 9 8 9 8 5 9 8 9 8 5 9 8 9 8	90	8	108	855,551.	2,146
	on of Matery Districts	Boundary	Total Acre-feet	507,000 336,540 717 6,243 53,786	1,275	318,860 1,576 6,291 49,646	55,074 50 91,039 15,571	2,818 399	208,000	6,250 6,968 7752	400 8,020 8,400 1,800	29,882 12,614 47,306 99,924	6,749,295	110,644
	Distribution of Mater Diverted by Districts	District Bo	Domestic Acre-fest	11,964	096		50	114		2552	39		21,723	
		Ineide D	Irriga- tion Acre-feet	507,000 535,640 6,243 41,822	325	318,580 1,576 8,291 49,645	55,074 91,039 15,571 1,689	2,704	208,000	5,728 5,728 5,908	400,000 1,981 8,000	29,882 12,614 47,506 99,924	6,727,570	110,644
	ater 939		Total	507,000 335,540 717 6,258 164,345	1,275	315,580 1,574 6,291 59,362	64,574 50 91,039 15,571 2,304	2,818 399	208,000	599 6,885 782	606,808 2,020 8,400	29,882 12,722 50,582 99,924	7,934,426	112,689
	Aore-feet of Water Diverted in 1959	Į.	Oravity	427,000 289,900 717 163,210	154,638	316,560	10,380 91,039 16,671 1,763	2,818	208,000	599	459,170 8,400 600	29,889	6,795,855	113,689
	Aore		Pump	80,000 45,640 6,268 1,135	1,276	* 69,362	* 53,994	00	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6,260	146,538 2,020	18,722 50,582	1,140,573	
	Reservoirs	Storage	Aora-feet	276,000 119,600 8,400 56,205	56,250	3,100	3,800	5,428	17,000	4,300	228,000		960,320	198,470
	Reser		Acre-feet	281,000 119,500 70,000	56,250	3,100	3,200	6,576	17,000	4,300	528,000		1,102,066	232,329
0,1	Dietriot Plante	Average	Lift in feet	39 27 16 80	95 165 16	00 1	180	800000	180	772 50 89	240	110	102	
JANUARY 1, 1940	Dietri	S.	Horse	2,000	305	09	100 38 107	315	225	8,820 48 656	2,778	1,000	39,889	
JANO		L	Private		8008			9		4	200		18,151	146
	d Pumpe		Totel	69	2 - 1 2		13	9 1 1 1	3	35	1322	90	629	
	Welle and	Dietrict	Drain- age	069	CV					8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 1 8 8 9 1 8 8 8 8 1 8 1 8 9 1 8	132	9	337	
			Irriga- tion	0 1 1 0 3 0 3 0 9 0 0 1 0 9 0 0 1 0 9 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0	8 4 8		111111111111111111111111111111111111111	9	21	36	128		321	
	Source of Water Supply			Myrod Haver and Wells Tyounge River and Wells Shacks River Old River (Sam Josquin River) Tyba River od Tributaries	Dariot Malla	Littlerock Creek and Welle Colored River Creek Little Butte Creek Bal River (From F.G. & E.) Sacramento River (Olenn-Colues I.D.)	Sacramano River (Olean-Colusa I.D.) District Wells Fostber River	San Discutto River (Take Hodges Res.). District the bells	South Pork Pit River	Peather River	Enweah Haver and District Wells — "Toolumes River and District Wells — "The River and Wells (Earl Smoother Res.) San Dails Rey River (Lake Remains Res.)	Tuckume River		Kern River
	Mame of District			Ferced	Newport Heights Newport Fees Oakdale Orange Cove Oroville-Wyandotte	Palmdale	Provident	Santa Fe	South Fork South Monteballo South San Joequin Stineon Streetford	Table Hountain Transfer Bella Thermalito Trany Clover Tranquillity	Tulare	Waterford	Totals	Buena Vista W.S.D

(*)Pumped water purchased from Glenn Colusa Irrigation District.



TABLE II
CALIPORNIA INNIGATION DISTRICT CROP NEPORT FOR 1939

		Total Acres Cropped	7,500 100,000 8,798 14,461 4,718	1,848 2,384 6,000 2,090	9,088 1,447 1,400 1,200 1,874	2,205 86,231 17,186 2,545 2,134	20,000 14,931 3,400 4,700 5,668	4,550 9,219 2,450 184,807 82,193	18,690 9,160 419,828 2,500	10,2854	7,085	21,000 8,864 1,108
	Area Cropped	Dry Parmed Acres	1,275	200	2,882	1,200	111114	361	4,720	1,360	1,900	4,000
	Are	Irrigated	7,500 100,000 7,521 (a)14,275 4,718	Nons 1,848 2,384 8,000 1,590	(b) 6,408 1,047 1,400 1,200 1,574	1,003 88,231 17,186 2,646 1,440	20,000 14,931 3,400 4,700 5,227	4,350 8,858 2,450 164,807 48,350	882 13,970 4,310 (a)419,826 2,600	6,894 10,882 992 86,000	5,185	17,000 8,819 1,108
		Not Sagna- gated	100,000	8,000	233	86,231	3,400		119	Domestic 26,000	Domestia	17,000
		Pasture	4.9	086		909	94	100	710	1,010)	
		Citrus and Avocado			1,200			2,998	7,035			8,190
	d Crops	Decidu- ous Fruits, Nuts & Olives	799	1,848	572	438	8,830 3,800	997 1,860 22,242 500	8,490	963	3,420	140
	rrigats	Orapes	122		52		702	4,801	350	18	909	62
	age of 1	Other Fisld and Truck	200	125	2,935	249	4,199	100 60 160 8,348	4,480	2,942	1,865	267
1940	Kind and Acreage of Irrigated Crops	Orein and Orein Hay	1,800	1,000	213	5,311	722	19,849	3,300	2,819 8,819	411111	
JANUARY 1, 1940	Kind	Hics				983		22,439	120			# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
JAN		Cotton	4,000			9,478	2,760	14,441	3,067	3,242		
	:	Alfalfa and Ladino	1,500	1,121	2,648	2,148	478	21,500 12,676	882 660 300 213,496	2,616 1,631 128	20	40
	2.00	Total	175 6,200 750 188 171	1,711 47 175 175	283 8 720 327 440	4,620 184 19	265 400 260 1,420	1,368 440 9,300	1,380 1,380 9,308	24 8 00 8 00 8 00 9 00 9 00 9 00 9 00 9 00	8,800 8,800 192	1,080
	Estimated Number of Holdings	Town	2,700	25	174	80	8820	871	940	700	5,700	20
	Estin	Parm	175 3,500 375 185 169	384 47 160 25	194 8 720 153 440	4,600 184 19	265 400 280 570 106	497 280 9,300	28 440 4,430 166	246	24 1,100 168	371
	Arsa Held by		3,342 Nobs 2,579 Nobs	9,336 73 Nons 16,549	Nons Nons 1 Nons 176	12,652 3,664 1,998 Nobe	None None None 120	Mons Nons 185 710 33,801	977 Nons 190 60,000	24,005 None 150	56 7,224 None Nobe	Mone 1,717 1,576 None None
	Irri-	gable D Area in Acres T	7,300 110,000 28,000 14,000 6,100	3,000 3,000 11,700 23,500	14,000 2,680 1,200 1,200	11,000 130,000 45,000 1,900	27,000 17,000 6,600 7,400	6,500 10,000 3,700 210,000	21,800 21,000 5,250 5,250 4,000	118,800 18,800 30,100 30,000	21,000 13,000 50,000 6,600	26,000 10,000 160,000
		Area in Acres	8,131 129,300 32,000 15,600 6,700	9,336 3,981 3,694 44,000 30,127	17,202 4,084 3,136 1,328 3,167	12,652 149,027 51,800 5,882 2,183	32,400 20,200 7,646 30,702	8,460 12,260 4,288 241,300 122,363	24,456 24,456 24,456 618,553 618,658	12,266 24,390 3,138 1,321 34,858	23,283 320 19,887 53,100 8,500	31,673 16,175 2,603 33,400 172,647
	/	Name of District	Alpaugh	Baxtsr Crsek	Byron-Bethany	Compton-Delevan Consolidatsd Corcoran Corton Deer Creek	Delano-Esrlimart Rast Contra Costa El Caulno El Dorado	Empirs Hest 51de Exetsr Freun Cake Glenn-Coluss	Grenada Hollister Hollister Expring Valley	Jacinto James Kinge River Delta Iz Canda	Lakeland	Lindmore Lindsay-Strathmore Littlarok Grask

(a) Emita-Carbona reported 1,511 acres double cropped.
(b) Syron-Bethany reported 180 acres double cropped.
(c) Imparial reported 276,862 acres double oropped.



TABLE II (CONTINUED)
CALIFORNIA IRRIDATION DISTRICT CROP REPORT FOR 1939

JANUARY 1, 1940

											_	_	
	Total Acres Cropped	115,901 75,013 2,000 2,225	16,719 550 65,716 12,483	2,078 32,234 2,000 1,775	5,454 6,671 9,271 13,000	1,813 3,300 3,300 1,318	29,000 12,387 54,191 5,000	5,517 942 289	8,739 18,000 162,875 1,208	8,389 904 5,970 7,840 21,519	12,784	1,828,671	35,276
Area Cropped	Dry Parmed Acree	9,822	26,306	300	1,000	90	1,969	3,270	98	360		95,043	
Are	Irrigated	565 108,079 (d)69,938 2,000 2,225	16,719 550 (r)40,410 4,983	32,234	5,464 6,511 9,271	1,763 3,300 3,000 1,316	26,000 12,587 289 52,222 5,000	2,2,47 942 254	18,000 18,000 152,875 1,122	8,389 8,389 5,321 (g) 7,480 21,519	12,784	1,755,628	55,276
	Not Segre- gated	3,714	50 50	2,000		3,300	29,000		16,000	107		350,539	
	Pasture	18,231	8,063	362	1,200		475	40	500	1,100	1,357	52,321	
	Citrue and Avocado		25 20 2,085	1,128	1 1 4	1,000	166	1,965	1,104	5,800		37,234	
sted Crop	Decidu- oue Fruits Nute & Olivee	20,132	6,430 4,381 889	900	1,059	55	4,916	282	11,167	23 1,804 347 435	3,854	129,209	
of Irrige	Orapes	12,456	1,246				6,665	2	11,673	28 487 123		126,772	
Acreege	Other Field W end Truck	13,900	3,630	4,491	846 275 20 2,300	708	9,308	4 8	45,362	1,435 805 4,780 15,870	1,812	494,002	10,370
Kind and Acreege of Irrigeted Grops	Orein and Orein Hay	14,825	1,729	1,555	565 30		11,376	11124	2,950	1,115	1,217	281,834	10,048
	Rice	2,093	1,500		1,924					500	1,058	49,508	
	Cotton	3,770	282	4,980	2,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1,798			50,573	10,035
	Alfalfa and Ladino	17,137 20,513	267	20,558	450 42 420 3,500		19,480	83	1,296	1,559	5,488	449,593	2,823
umber	Total	(e) 6,500 (5) 5,500 325 355	2,523 1,607 3,000	264 264 976 1,078	184 13 250 181 250	1,510 790 381 26 140	1,000 18 3,022	100 1.9 459 455	273 550 80 8,532 38	1,800 77 385 492 142	180	98,527	280
Estimated Number of Holdinge	Town	(e)2,200 (e)3,500	1,607	80 170 375 110	230	900	500	2000	2,279	120	-	36,988	92
	Farm	(e) 100 (a) 100 100 35	1,500	435 94 601 968 110	179	510 530 28 140	2,000	100 119 598 256 86	550 80 80 80 80 80	1,600 77 245 97 120	180	59,539	185
Area Held by	Distriction under Tex Deed Acres	8,820 29,875 12,689	5,761 None None 5,555 None	5,690 3,512 10,000 3,740 None	21,218 None	5,277 None	None None 2 889 10,135	941 6,718 1,127	200 15,015 828 102	3,128 None 2,259 840 215	None	345,224	None
Irri-	gable Ares in Acres	8,000 155,000 76,300 16,000	154,000 1,300 400 85,000 11,000	21,000 4,200 75,000 9,500 4,000	12,000 12,000 22,000 14,000	3,000 6,980 1,500 1,300	36,000 11,000 64,000 9,000	12,000 1,700 11,700 2,700	9,000 30,000 10,000 162,000 1,100	15,000 11,400 11,000 21,000	13,000	2,915,820	48,824
Orose	Acres	8,820 189,682 61,203 25,495 2,873	266,211 1,503 1,503 74,300 12,587	24,060 4,756 95,353 11,250 5,068	13,440 22,805 660 25,810 15,830	10,106 10,106 5,131 1,498	41,520 12,404 12,404 - 71,112	12,946 1,955 12,285 5,164 1,033	10,750 53,500 15,015 182,000	16,008 906 11,110 11,820 21,552	14,290	3,805,093	75,484
	Name of District	Maxwell Herced Modesto Modesto Modesto Modesto Modesto Magleo-Burk	Newport Heights Newport Heights Newport Heights Oakdale Orenge Cove	Orovilla-Wyandotte Palmdie Palo Verde Peredise Potter Valley	Princyton-Codors-Olenn Provident Ramon	San Dieguito	Shafter-Mesco	Stretford	Tranquillity Truster Tulare Tulo Turlock Vandalla	Vista	Woodbridge	Totals	Buena Vista Mater Stor.

(d) Modesto reported 8.255 acres double oropped.(e) Number of holdinge estimated from previous reports.

(f) Oakdale reported 1,150 sores doubla cropped. (g) West Side reported 230 acree doubla cropped.



TAELE III SUPPARY OF ASSESSMENTS LEVIED, TAX CERTIFICATES SOLD, CASH RECEIPTS AND CASH EXPENDITURES IN 1939

flotes	Cash	Discursa- ments 1959	63,787 116,735 38,806 185,526	65,280 15,276 4,641 6,355 87,077	20,508 48,440 34,338 27,544 12,515	178,752 125,096 17,015 2,817	275,487 25,008 89,958 22,577 15,925	3,790 55,724 186,797 251,502 19,550	4,885 4,478,902 485 22,397	45,807 19,860	4,586 519,557 8,010 1,227 277,446	19,445 19,277 2,714 768,199 1,597,071
		Total Receipte	\$ 75,064 102,618 59,685 185,531	59, 697 10, 478 5, 367 8, 396	16,879 42,625 27,610 31,345 21,747	160,998	259,590 22,590 22,091 222,958 17,685	250,952 256,757 20,165	5,821 5,217,201 462 24,183	88,756 50,774 25,293 1,906	221,702 8,726 5,071 258,041	17,525 20,734 5,459 1,004,824 1,379,516
29		District Land	2,087 2,087	6,701	1,939	2,624	215	8,988	100,878	1,830	24,210	1,977
Receipte 1959	Been he le	Crop Share	29,520 2,745 2,070 50,050	8,571 25,25 24 5,021 278	1,513	43,154 18,957 8,950 83	16,129 2,198 12,915 12,915 4,941	75 5,590 31,109 18,838 2,597	2,517,025	55,558 7,112 1,499 1,905	82,190 240 26,430	5,099 2,284 75,442 452,739
Cash 1		Tolls end (Power Sales	34,578	30,566 7,182 5,343 2,000 29,599	8,010 10,606 10,967	2,813 55,278 6,327	115,837 8,367 81,421 4,268	19,867	1,286,751	23,282	3,715 146,644 15	6,104 1,255 485,695 726,077
		Assessments Redemptions Penalties	99,286 57,251 59,120	14,270	18,679	115,294 64,002 2,990 3,686	157,424 11,380 17,757 18,180	15,224 190,835 50,615 5,572	5,814 1,350,547 18,295	20,380	2,378 56,659 8,455 5,071 83,558	5,345 20,555 422,367 197,700
	B a first	Total Assessment Levied	\$ 8,491 101,575 50,265 64,281 1,484	12,962	20,851 29,267 16,190 17,715	111,737 53,009 5,518 2,931	111,765 4,370 15,658 16,443 12,920	8,556 180,074 31,635 2,544	5,254 1,462,654 15,860	146 0 17,808 18,170	2,542 68,168 9,156 7,752 68,884	5,928 15,601 0 541,985 177,863
1940	Valuation	Total Rate	\$ 2.00 1.21 4.00 3.00	3.80 0.80 1.25A	5.96 11.75 1.90 6.00	1.20	840 88 800000	08000	3.00 3.77 2.25 10	2.00	2.50 11.50 4.50 6.00 7.00 8.00	5.25 .20 .20 .2.00 .2.40
Aesessment 1939-1940	\$100 of Va	Oeneral Fund	2.00	3.80	11.75	1.20	0.72 0.40 0.40 0.53 0.03	1.50	3.00 1.57 1.70	2.00	25.25	3.50
Аэзевя	Rate per	Bond	2.00	0,38	2.11		2.63	2,60	2,20	1.50	1,90	1.75
		Total Assessed Valuation	\$ 424,586 8,596,155 1,258,640 2,142,722	541,110 258,821 751,840 1,678,848	249,625 249,080 257,387	9,511,455 4,417,441 75,353 175,540	5,539,206 109,265 1,957,257 526,960 646,041	1,150,477 329,092 18,007,420 2,536,305 189,590	176,000 38,798,887 194,487 709,494	1,115,046	93,891 5,567,786 609,098 5,092,860 1,722,131	112,909 7,800,720 11,599,525 7,410,992
	Tax Certi-	ficates sold Including Penalties	10,992	2,209 674 0 776 8,308	2,2 2,544 3,675 0	10,150	12,911	550 14,210 5,649 1,054	238,975	115 0 765 642 0	7,285 198 0	22,12 211,872
1956-1959		Total Assessment Levied	\$ 9,108 117,579 49,789 64,198	12,859	21,557 29,228 16,245 17,840	125,608 59,854 5,195	134,094 12,271 15,605 22,400 19,381	6,584 9,555 179,750 42,055 2,155	5,524 1,481,464 1,155 15,681	146 0 17,684 19,440	2,554 97,666 17,732 67,732	5,067 20,969 0 537,568 177,845
Assessment		Rate per \$100 of Valuation	85.50 1.448 00.00	3.50 1.47 1.25Ac	11.75	44.44 44.55 64.44 68.82 68.82 68.82 68.82 68.83	2.00Ac. 80.00Ac.	2.55 2.60 1.50 1.50	3.77	2.00	11.25 11.25 11.25 10.25 10.25	2.00
		Total Assessed Valuation	\$ 424,586 8,526,014 1,244,714 2,139,947	258,610 258,821 849,200 1,598,550	349,825 248,745 950,285 294,000	9,364,225 5,990,962 58,620 175,540	3,557,511 1,950,572 527,060 646,041	1,160,477 536,558 1,797,600 2,644,486 172,440	39,509,911 194,467 689,832	7,311	93,581 5,484,720 615,294 5,092,860 1,684,455	112,590 6,088,705 11,245,640 7,410,140
	Usual	Assessed Valuation per Acre	50 50 150 100	100	150 800 100 75	1000	175 50 47 78 100	900 440 000 000	86 20 110 48 60	30 100 100	250 250 100 165	100 80 50 75 75
		Name of District	Alpaugh Alta Alderen-Cottonwood Banta-Carbone Bard (Part of Yuba Project)	Beaumon t Big Springs Browns walley Butte walley Byron-Bethany	Camp Par West Carmichael Carpenter Citrue Seighte Compton-Delevan	Consolidated Cororan Cordua Deer Creek Delano-Earlimart	East Contra Costa El Camino El Dorado El Nido Empire West 31de	Exeter Fair Oaks Freenc Glenn-Colusa Orenada	Bollister Bot Spring Valley Inportal Island No. 3 Jacinto	Jameo Kings River Delta La Canada Laguna Lakeland	Lakeside La Mesa - Lemon G. and S.V. Lindmore Lindmary-Strathmore	Littlerook Greek Madera Marwell Morced Modesto




TABLE III (CONTINUED)

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENTS LEVIED, TAX CERTIFICATES SOLD, CASH RECEIPTS AND CASH EXPENDITURES IN 1939

⊕o+e1	Cash Disburss- ments	\$ 5,948 11,330 601,497 29,995	347,975 263 59,650 153,141	30,521 26,892 47,120 65,099 20,008	65,773 13,504 104,467 110,076 9,008	29,938 6,029 16,200 20,194	315,186 5,825 34,550 144,356	22,122 7,649 37,639 29,813 1,307,004	348,916 6,705 36,705 36,365 82,865	221,202	13,895,914
	Total Receipts	\$ 6,134 16,910 545,385 29,944	311,779 87,980 214,484	42,746 21,579 45,219 96,258 19,366	87,167 14,649 100,338 115,652 9,809	25,864 4,376 11,591 24,677	427,612 3,556 59,352 132,506	24,622 10,873 45,788 32,995 1,350,441	35,897 280,226 6,073 48,999	229,554	362,489 E,058,826
929	Sale of District Land	1,422	37,263	5,980	5,913 10,064 6,047		490	751	14,509 2,016 11,037		362,489
Cash Receipts 1939	Rentals Crop Share	2,665 2,119 28,964 1,491	39,655 19,772 12,715	2,428 49,887 5,911	25,894 81 4,218 5,787 510	1,075	8,138 3,068 460 52,017	2,991 2,991 2,404 2,842 2892	7,989 18,433 6 5,772 5,914	12,377	4,077,319
Cash	Weter Tolls and Power Sales	473,778 10,672	65,248 41,987 82,870	21,871 8,309 14,053 39,892 7,814	61,034 43,018 39,508 7,450	12,542	85,287 4,960 56,722	15,156 1,216 29,161 6,780 731,947	14,116	151,318	5,773,448
	Assessments Redemptions Penalties	\$ 1,180 14,749 41,201 11,623	169,615	14,169 13,247 28,738 6,487 6,711	8,655 43,040 66,330 1,842	12,247 4,376 11,691 12,074	356,187 33,932 25,767	8,284 4,951 4,545 23,575 329,010	15,592 106,488 2,984 41,211 50,830	71,031	4,865,570
	Total Assessment Leviad	\$ 1,295 14,468 57,072 9,590	17,614	13,647 10,976 29,718 1,245 5,618	7,080 55,022 84,675 1,719	13,179 5,360 18,091 11,215	348,221	7,604 4,696 4,462 25,316 273,463	13,829 91,221 2,265 57,242 52,562	81,620	4,678,398
-1940	Valuation Total Rate	\$,40 7.25 1.00 0.80	4.00	3.75	1.00	1.00 2.25 3.20 1.60	8.40 0 5.30 7.00	2.50 1.00 1.65 2.30	64 46 000 000 000 000 000	3.80	
Assessment 1939-1940	\$100 of General Fund	\$ 7.26 1.00 0.80	3.68	1.17 2.30 1.20 7.50	1.95	.25 1.70 1.60	2.18	00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00 00.00	1.16		
Asses	Rate per Bond Fund		. 32	3.75	2.45	1,00	3.22	99	4.84	3.80	
	Total Assessed Valuation	\$ 523,863 199,586 5,707,211 1,173,735	4,188,515 629,386 1,761,432 3,386,630	384,958 219,536 806,665 103,750 74,910	766,350 708,006 2,200,681 1,295,500 114,640	1,317,927 2,522,550 502,656 701,026	6,448,410	300,149 78,278 446,267 1,534,321 15,397,255	2,027,159 906,600 931,083 1,085,109	2,147,877	196,390,741
	Tax Certi- ficates sold Including Penalties	\$ 609 933 5,438 5,931	13,061	3,743 1,042 1,730	684 0 0 4,869	197 4,544 720 679	32,071	1,406	15,613 390 3,997 3,502	14,583	580,583
1938-1939	Total Assessment Lewled	\$ 1,256 11,974 36,861 10,529	159,886 17,406 17,655 61,789	15,465 10,977 28,100 1,214 8,202	8,488 54,909 58,977 1,746	7,697 15,179 26,000 16,091 11,882	26,618	7,802 4,897 4,441 26,877 334,900	13,819 101,104 101,104 36,922 36,922	79,467	4,695,499
Assessment 1938-1939	Rate per \$100 of valuation	6.00	4.00	3.75 5.00 3.70 1.16 7.50	1.20 2.50 6.10 1,50	3.00 1.25 3.20 1.70	5.40	2.50 6.00 1.75 2.50	8 4 8 000000	2.00	*
Y	Total Assessed Valuation	\$ 516,528 199,566 5,665,083 1,147,750	3,997,164	359,606 219,538 807,153 104,950 82,690	597,715 707,353 2,196,141 1,387,690 116,345	256,565 1,317,878 2,462,300 502,865 699,018	6,455,855	304,038 76,278 441,106 1,524,470 13,598,310	2,022,080 906,600 923,044 1,011,379	2,147,751	179,668,797
	Usual Assessed Valuation per Acre	40 100 15 800 800	67 100 100 50	60 62 67 75 110	30 50 500 185 210	1,000	100 25 125 100	160 100 50 40 80	200 150 1,000 100	100 85	
	Name of District	Montague Naglee Burk Newada Newport Highte Newport Highte	Oakdale Orange Cove Orovilla-Myandotte Palndale Palo Verde	Paradies Potter Valley Princeton-Codora-Glenn Provident Ramona	Richvale Riverdale San Dieguito Santa Pe San Xeidro	Scott Velley Servano Sarter-Wasco South Fork South Montebello	South San Joaquin Stinson Strafford Table Mountain Terra Bella	Thermalito Tracy Clover Tracquillity Tulare Turlock	Vendala Vista Vista Walnut Waterford West Side	West Stanislaus Woodbridge	Total



TABELE IV SUPPARY OF STATISTICAL DATA RELATING TO SONDS AND MARKANTS OF CALIFORNIA IRRIGATION DISTRICTS

JANUARY 1, 1940

Totals for each district underscored

g Plans	Bonds	Held by		N 54,000	Nane	Nane	1,026,060	None	N 143,000	N 22,500	None	524,000	None	N 50,000	None	N 74,000	None	679,000	M 95,000	None	
Status of Befinancing Plans	Basie of	Re funding		47.913	None	31,20	81,00	None	75.00	42,372	8.00	70.00	None	75.00	Nane	70.00	None	75.00	39.00	100,00	
Status of	Amount of	C 5	9	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	None	None	639,388	None			None	340,736	None	\$ 8 8 9	None	\$ \$ 8 9 9	None	447,346	3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	None	
	Interest	Marrante	1-1-40	None	None	None	58,895	61,780	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	
	mente	ult	Interest	None	None	355,000	477,924		None	None	442,500		None	None	None	None	150,880	217,117	None	None	
	Bond Pay	in Default	Principal	None	None	137,000	None	377,000	None	None	None	1 1 0 0 0	None	None	None	None	168,000	123,000	None	None	
1940	Sold	1	Outstanding	54,000	(a) 31,600	1,121,000	1,137,080	511,000	143,000	22,600	694,000	000,689	149,000	60,000	164,000	74,000	384,000	733,000	(2) 95,000	1,063,040	
January 1, 1940	on of Bonda		Paid		460,500	134,000	None	None	000 6	3,500	Nane	81,000	30,000	2,000	36,000	18,000	139,000	27,000	6,725	237,960	
of Bonds.	Ē		Refunded		5 9 5 8	None	None	None		None	Nane	1	None	None	None		281,000	None		1,110,300	
Statue	Patnetnal	Amount	Sold or Exchanged	24,000	492,000	1,255,000	1,137,060	611,000	162,000	26,000	694,000	650,000	179,000	62,000	200,000	88,000	623,000	750,000	100,725	1,301,000	
	-		Refunding	64,000					159,000	28,000		269,000		63,000	1	86,000	384,000	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	102,726	1,153,000	
	Dean Unlin	Bonds Iseued	Original		200,000	1,255,000 480,000 575,000 200,000	1,164,000 705,000 125,000 334,000	511,000			594,000	650,000 550,000 100,000	200,000		200,000		576,000	760,000	1	1,324,000 514,000 860,000 160,000	
	1		Rate in Fer Cent	4	ıΩ	80 80 80	800	9	*	4	9	600	9	*	9	4	စာမာ	ю	4	8888	
		Range of Maturities		Jan. 1, 1943-1968	Jan. 1, 1924-1942	Jac. 1, 1937-1958 Jul. 1, 1938-1957 Jul. 1, 1925-1934	Jul. 1, 1940-1964 Jul. 1, 1941-1965 Jan. 1, 1948-1967	Jan. 1, 1926-1943	Jul. 1, 1939-1968	Jen. 1, 1940-1969	Jan. 1, 1944-1963	Jan. 1, 1924-1962 Jul. 1, 1933-1956 Sinking Fund 1979	Jul. 1, 1937-1958	Jen. 1, 1941-1970	Jul. 1, 1934-1953	Jan. 1, 1941-1970	Jan. 1, 1922-1936 Jan. 1, 1937-1950	Jan. 1, 1931-1955	Jul. 1, 1938-1967	Jen. 1, 1935-1954 Jul. 1, 1927-1946 Jen. 1, 1929-1947 Sinking Pund 1978	
			Date of Bonds	Jan. 1, 1939	Feb. 4, 1902	Jan. 1, 1918 Jul. 1, 1917 Jul. 1, 1920	Aug. 1, 1924 Dec. 1, 1925 Jan. 1, 1927	Jul. 1, 1921	Jul. 1, 1936	Jul. 1, 1936	Sep. 1, 1923	Nov. 1, 1920 Sep. 1, 1923 Jan. 1, 1934	Jul. 1, 1927	Jul. 1, 1937	Jul. 1, 1929	Jul. 1, 1937	Dec. 1, 1921 Jan. 1, 1927	Jan. 1, 1920	5ep. 1, 1934	Jen. 1, 1924 Jul. 1, 1921 Mar. 1, 1922 Jen. 1, 1934	
		Number	of Bond Issue	1 Ref.	1 Pund	100	10210	rl	1 Ref.	1 Ref.	7	1 Hef.	٦	1 Hef.	1	1 Ref.	1 Hef.	1	2 Ref.	1 1 1 1 Hef.	
			Name of District	Alpaugh	Alta	Anderson-Cottonwood -	Banta Carbona	Baxter Greek	Beaumont	Mg Springe	Butte Valley	Byron-Bethany	Camp Far West	Carmichael	Carpenter	Citrus Heighte	Compton Delevan	Caroarea	Cordus	Eact Contra Cocta	

N Designates new refunding issues accepted by R.P.C. for loans advanced in purchase of mid securities.

⁽a) Alta has in addition one \$600 bond of a former issue nutstanding.
(b) Cordus has in addition nne \$1000 bond of a former laste autstanding.



SUPPARY OF STATISTICAL DATA RELATING TO BONDS AND WARRANTS OF CALIFORNIA IRRIGATION DISTRICTS TABLE IV (CONTINUED)

JANUARY 1, 1940

Totale for each district underscored

											_	_				
Plans	Bonds Seld By	R.F.C.	None	664,000	None	\$ 56,500	1,208,554	None	H 41,000	None	M 84,000	965,000	None	None	N1,262,788	1,262,500
Status of Refinancing Plans	Basis of Refunding		None	50.50	100,00	00.00	87.00	18,382	51.316	100,00	60.50	25.00	None	None	64.397	59,978
Status of	Amount of R.F.C.Loan	Outstanding	Kone	553,297	None		860,943	Rone		Мопо		236,238	None	Rone		756,161
	Interest Bearing Warrants		None	24,439	12,000	None	None	11,905	None	878,266	None	None	None	None	None	None
	ments	Interest	235,600	74,492	None	None	250,444	None	None	2,265,438	None	534,010	None	None	None	470,212
	Bond Payments in Default	Principal	20,000	Rone	(o)Kone	Rone	819,753	None	None	350,000	None	645,000	None	None	None	508,500
1940	Bonds Sold	Total Outstanding	423,000	888,000	115,000	58,500	1,248,454	(4)14,000	41,000	17,505,000	84,000	995,000	314,000	30,000	1,804,768	1,427,000
Status of Bonds, January 1, 1940	Disposition of Bon	Peld	None	None	2,000	3,000	1,155,696	!	2,000	1,50,000	10,500	5,000	14,000	2,000	178,000 93,000 85,000	223,000
of Bonds,	Disposi	Refunded	None	None	None	None	285,000 1	(4122,000		13291,000	1	None	None	None	6 9 8 9 8	euosa euosa
Status	Principal	Sold or Exchanged	423,000	688,000	120,000	61,500	2,404,150	138,000	43,000	19.255,000	94,500	1,000,000	328,000	35,000	1,982,768	1,650,000
	le of	Refunding			1 9 8 8 8 8 8	63,000	300,000	138,000	47,000	6,000,000 750,000 7,500,000	96,000	\$ \$ 8 9	2 2 2 1 1 2 0 5			
	Face Value of Bonds Issued	Original	430,000 275,000 28,000 127,000	1,300,000	135,000		2,587,000		-	19,263,000 3,500,000 2,500,000 2,500,000 7,500,000 1,518,000 1,045,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,000,000	328,000 154,000 174,000	35,000	1,982,766 450,000 1,347,768 145,000	1,650,000 1,400,000 250,000
	Regular	Rate in Per Cent	989	80	(c)8	4	60	9	4	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	4	60	20	9	चचच	8 8
	Range of Maturities		Jen. 1, 1937-1958 Jan. 1, 1940-1958 Jen. 1, 1940-1958	Jan. 1, 1948-1967	Jan. 1, 1934-1951	Jen. 1, 1941-1970	Jan. 1, 1922-1941 Jan. 1, 1935-1941	Jul. 1, 1938-1970	Jul. 1, 1938-1987	Jul. 1, 1956-1965 Jul. 1, 1956-1965 Jul. 1, 1956-1954 Jul. 1, 1956-1954 Jul. 1, 1941-1966 Jul. 1, 1941-1967 Jul. 1, 1942-1967 Jul. 1, 1942-1968 Jul. 1, 1958-1944 Shaking Pand 1968 Shaking Pand 1968	Jul. 1, 1939-1968	Jan. 1, 1928-1947	Jul. 1, 1936-1960 Jul. 1, 1949-1968	Jan. 1, 1945-1955	May 1, 1937-1964 May 1, 1940-1972 Jan. 1, 1942-1957	Jul. 1, 1929-1946
		Dete of Bonds	Nov. 1, 1926 Nov. 1, 1926 Nov. 1, 1926	Mar. 1, 1927	Jan. 1, 1931	Sep. 1, 1937	Oct. 1, 1920 Nov. 1, 1924	Jul. 1, 1931	Sep. 1, 1934	Jan. 1, 1915 Jul. 1, 1917 Oct. 1, 1919 Jul. 1, 1932 Jul. 1, 1937 Oct. 1, 1933 Feb. 1, 1933 Feb. 1, 1933	Mar. 1, 1935	May 15, 1920	Jul. 1, 1925 Jul. 1, 1928	Feb. 1, 1925	May 1, 1934 May 1, 1934 Jul. 1, 1937	Jul. 1, 1916 Oct. 1, 1918
	Number	of Bond Issue	1 Div. 2 Div. 3 Div.	н	-	1 Ref.	1 Ref.	1 Ref.	1 Ref.	1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 Ref.	г	1 2 5	1	2;2d Div. 3; 1 Ref.	100
		Name of District	El Comino	El Dorado	El Nido	Pair Oaks	Olema-Calusa	Orenada	Hot Spring Velley	Daportal	Jacinto	Јатов	La Canada	Lakeside	La Mesa, L.G. & S.V.	Lindeay-Strathmore -

M Designates new refunding issues eccepted by R.P.C. for loans edvanced in purchase of old securities.

(c) El Mido, maturity dates of bonds and refunding warrants extended by agreements and interest rate on

El Nido, maturity dates of bonds end refunding warrante extended by agreements and interest rate on bonds reduced to 4%% from July 1, 1839.

Grenada refinanced \$135,000 in bonde through loan of \$20,750 advanced by individuals. Warrants issued in payment of loan. Bonds of \$14,000 held in secrow as additional security. (p)



SUPPARY OF STATISTICAL DATA RELATING TO BONDS AND WARRANTS OF CALIPORNIA IRRIGATION DISTRICTS TABLE IV (CONTINUED)

JANUARY 1, 1940

	Plens	Bonds Held By	R. R.	355,200	None	14,732,000	Rone	None	None	None	135,200		2,303,300	1,080,000	Rone
	Status of Refinancing Plans	Baele of Refunding H		29,432	None	51.501	None	Rone	32.11	100,00	62.50	9 6 8 9 9	20.00	35,272	20,00
	Status of	Amount of R.P.C.Loan		98,500	None	7,588,455	None	None	None	None	77,944		1,130,500	380,599	None
		Interest Bearing Warrants	Umpaid 1-1-40	Mone	44,190	None	None	None	6,300	None	None	1	None	None	
		Bond Payments in Default	Interest	1 1 0 0 1 5		6,578,163	Rone	907,700	76,200	None	27,080	No Report		409,336	None
		Bond Pe	Principal	45,000	221,000	647,000	None	None	16,000	None	None	None	 	None	None
	1940	s Sold	Total	358,000	(e)234,000	15,191,000	2,893,077	1,395,000	186,000	7,504,000	150,400	50,000	5,240,300	1,095,000	222,500
	Bonde, January 1, 1940	Disposition of Bonds Sold	Paid	10,000	28,000	28,000	2,121,454	None	000 6	596,000	9,600	None	434,700	None	None
rscored	of Bonde,	Disposit	Refunded	None	None	None	None	None	188,000		None		2,181,200	None	
Totale for each district underscored	Stetue	Principal Amount		368,000	260,000	16,250,000	5,014,511 1,010,511 200,000 200,000 2,000,000 135,000	1,395,000	195,000	8,100,000	160,000	20,000	3,675,000	1,095,000	222,500
for each di			Refunding						192,000	8,100,000			2,320,000		222,590
Totale		Face Value of Bonde Issued	Original	258 000 60,000 200,000 48,000 60,000	260,000	16,250,000 3,120,000 1,800,000 1,320,000 5,760,000 1,000,000	2,298,511 1,056,511 232,000 200,000 2,000,000 2,000,000 500,000 235,000 235,000 235,000	1,395,000	200,000		180,000	50,000	2,675,000 1,600,000 400,000 175,000 1,100,000	2,000,000	1
		Reguler	Rate in Per Cent	ممصم	9	8 10 10 8 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	ក្រសសក្សសសក្	ю	60 60	ю	99	90	សសណ្ឌលស	80	Ф
		Range of Maturitiae		Jen. 1, 1941-1954 Jen. 1, 1941-1960 Jen. 1, 1935-1942 Jen. 1, 1941-1960	Jan. 1, 1922-1941	Jan. 1, 1953-1955 Jan. 1, 1951-1955 Jan. 1, 1956-1955 Jan. 1, 1955-1964 Jan. 1, 1957-1964	### 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Jan. 1, 1947-1955	Jul. 1, 1926-1940 Jul. 1, 1933-1967	Sinking Fund 1981	Jan. 1, 1941-1960	Jul. 1, 1940-1959	Jul. 1, 1934-1940 Jan. 1, 1934-1945 Jul. 1, 1938-1955 Jan. 1, 1932-1953 Jan. 1, 1937-1965	Jen. 1, 1944-1983	Jec. 1, 1947-1982
			Date of Bonds	Jul. 1, 1914 Jan.16, 1920 May 1, 1921 Jan. 1, 1925	Sep. 1, 1918	Jan. 1, 1922 Jan. 1, 1922 Jan. 1, 1922 Jan. 1, 1922 May 1, 1924 Apr. 1, 1926	Ann. 5, 1902 Jan. 5, 1904 Jul. 1, 1909 Jul. 1, 1911 Jul. 1, 1920 Jul. 1, 1922 Jun. 1, 1924 Jun. 1, 1924 Jun. 1, 1924	Jan. 1, 1926	Oct. 1, 1921 Jul. 1, 1927	Sep. 15, 1931	Jan. 1, 1920	Jen. 1, 1919	Jul. 1, 1910 Jen. 1, 1913 Jul. 1, 1915 Jan. 1, 1924 Jun. 21, 1925 Oct. 1, 1931	Jan. 1, 1925	Jul. 1, 1934
		Number	of Bond Issue	n * 10 ₪	1	1;1 Div. 1;2 Div. 1;3 Div. 1;4 Div.	1 Fund 2 Fund 3 4 5-6 7-11 12 13 14 9p.Rev.	н	1 Ref.	1 Ref.	1	F	2 3 4 5 Mel. 1 Ref.	1	1 Wef.
			Name of District	Littlerock Creek	Maxwell	Morcod	Nodesto	Montague	Neglee-Burk	Revada	hewport Heights	Newport Mesa	Oakdale	Oroville-Wyandotte	Palmdale

(e) Mexwell bonds were acquired by a pool of former landowners. District has taken title to all the land.




TABLE IV (CONTINUED)
SUMMARY OF STATISTICAL DATA RELATING TO BONDS AND MARRANTS OF CALIFORNIA IRRIGATION DISFRICTS
TANDARY 1 1040

JANUARY 1, 1940

	5 Plans	Bonds Held By	R.F.C.	4,061,830	447,000	None	None	None	None	N 538,000	N 180,500	M 377,500	None	None	None	None	None	5,231,050
	Refinancing Plans	Basis of Refunding	d on	24.81	52,521	None	None	50.00	None	75,00	52.29	55,555	None	100.00	None	None	None	74,125
	Statue of	Amount of R.F.C.Loan	Outstanding	1,009,041	254,168	None	None	None	None			1	None	None	None	None	None	3,581,456
	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Bearing	Unpaid 1-1-40	None	None	None	Rone	None	2,000	None	None	None	None	None	None	10,501	None	None
		rments	Interest	2,120,495		None	None	€ 33,572	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	Rone	None	168,066
		Bond Payments in Default	Principal	1,191,000	32,000	None	None	341,000	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	346,700
	1940	Sold	Total	4,174,330 170,000 911,951 304,379 850,000 1,938,000	476,000	52,000	143,000	957,000	91,000	538,000	185,500 5,000 180,500	377,500	11,000	59,000	154,000	127,000	37,500	5,806,250
	January 1, 19	Disposition of Bonds Sold	Paid	739,000	14,000	35,000	32,000	233,000	None	None	54,000 43,000 11,000	15,000	14,000	8,000	35,000	6,000	87,500	170,750
recored	of Bonds, J	Dispositi	Refunded	None	None	None	None	None	None		352,000	None	None	None	None	None	None	4,515,550
Totals for each district underscored	Status	Principal	Sold or Exchanged	4,913,330 1,253,951 371,379 850,000 1,938,000	490,000	000,79	175,000	1,190,000	91,000	638,000	\$91,500 \$00,000 191,500	393,500	25,000	87,000	200,000	133,000	125,000	2,985,000
for each di			nding						6 6	1	202,500	394,500		67,000			-	4,791,250
Totals		Pace Value of	Original	5,507,330 1,507,330 1,205,951 850,000 3,500,000	490,000 350,000 140,000	100,000	175,000	1,190,000	91,000	638,000 388,000 90,000 150,000	400,000	1	25,000		200,000	165,000	125,000	5,985,000 1,170,000 790,000 790,000 500,000 550,000 1,100,000
		Hegular	Rate in Per Cent	0 0 0 0 0	99	-60	9	99	ю	ਚ ਚ ਚ	204	4	so.	ю	9	4	60	က က က က က က က က က က က က
		A STATE OF THE STA	Berry at 18 centre	Reb. 1, 1922-1935 May 1, 1919-1958 Nov.1, 1923-1962 Jen. 1, 1935-1942 Jul. 1, 1937-1955	May 1, 1938-1957 Jul. 1, 1925-1955	Jul. 1, 1933-1952	Jul. 1, 1939-1958	Aug. 15, 1930-1949 Jul. 1, 1922-1933	Jul. 1, 1947-1956	Jul. 1, 1941-1970 Jan. 1, 1941-1970 Jan. 1, 1943-1972	Jan. 1, 1931-1950 Jan. 1, 1941-1973	Jul. 1, 1940-1972	Jac. 1, 1934-1943	Jan. 1, 1937-1951	Jul. 1, 1934-1953	Jul. 1, 1938-1964	Jan. 1, 1925-1945	Jul. 1 931-1940 Apr. 18, 1934-1942 Apr. 18, 1934-1943 Sep. 1, 1944-1963 Jul. 1, 1944-1963 Jul. 1, 1944-1965
			Date of Bonds	Feb. 1, 1918 May 1, 1918 Nov. 1, 1922 Dec. 1, 1925 Sep. 1, 1925	May 1, 1917	Jul. 1, 1928	Jul. 1, 1918	Aug. 15, 1916 Aug. 9, 1921	Jul. 1, 1928	Jul. 1, 1937 Jul. 1, 1937 May 1, 1939	Apr. 1, 1923 Jan. 1, 1935	Jul. 1, 1936	Jan. 1, 1913	Jan. 1, 1936	Jul. 1, 1929	Jul. 1, 1934	Jun. 30, 1923	Jul. 1, 1910 Jul. 1, 1910 Jul. 1, 1913 Sap. 1, 1925 Jun. 21, 1925
		Mimber	of Bond Issue	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- 6	1	7	12	1	1 Ref.	2 Ref.	2 Ref.	-	2 Ref.	п	-	-	
			Name of District	Falo Verda	Faradise	Potter Valley	Princeton-Codora-Olenn	Provident	Remons	Richvele	San Dieguito	Santa Pe	San Yaidro	Scott Valley	Serrano	South Fork	South Montebello	South San Joaquin

N Designates new refunding issues accepted by R.P.C. for loans advanced in purchase of old sacurities.



SUPPLARY OF STATISTICAL DATA RELATING TO BONDS AND WARRANTS OF CALIFORNIA IRRIDATION DISTRICTS TABLE IV (CONTINUED)

JANUARY 1, 1940

Totals for each district underscored

Γ								Ot .	O.L	01	_			CI.		OI.				o ₂	
	ing Plane	Bonds Reld By			None	None	None	308,000	47,170	N137,500	None	Rone	Rone	1,618,000	None	N 286,500	None	Rone	None	40,153,432	None
	Status of Refinancing Plane	Basie of Refunding	o b		Rone	None	60°00	37.425	35,55	75.00	None	None	None	55.00	59,45	50,103	100,00	None	None		None
	Status of	Amount of R.F.C.Loan	Outs tending		None	None	Nooe	112,932	20,000	None	None	None	None	795,570	None	None	None	None	None	18,441,271	None
	4	Lotereet Bearing Werrente			28,628	None	24,495	None	742	None		None	Rone	None	None	None	20,000	42,335	4,200	1,251,858	28,061
		Bond Peyments in Default	Interest		119,754	35,938	None		19,663	None	5 8 9 8	None	None		241,652	None	None	414,600	None	17,046,153	None
		Bond I	Principal		130,600	Rone	None	000,09	4,170	None	693,000	Mone	None	None	275,250	Rone	None	51,000	None	7,149,973	None
	1940	Bonds Sold	Total Outstanding		360,000	167,000	266,500 1,000 285,500	320,000	52,170	139,500 2,000 137,500	808,000	4,823,860	145,600	1,700,000	631,926	286,500	1,238,000	562,000	230,000	90,240,234	895,000
	January 1,	Jo	Paid		None	None	305,000 182,000 123,000	None	None	34,000	None	3,046,140	28,600	None	38,075	None	22,000 22,000	70,000	95,000	12,920,680	47,731
Decorage	of Bonde,	Disposition	Re Dunded		None	None	817,000	None	None	224,000	None	None	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	None	None	1	1,148,000	332,000	None	24,945,050	None
esch uzaurto underecoreu	Stetue	Principal Amount	Sold or Exchanged		350,000	187,000	1,408,500 1,000,000	320,000	52,170	397,500 260,000 137,500	808,000	7,870,000	172,200	1,700,000	670,000	286,500	1,296,000 1,175,000 121,000 1,148,000	685,000	325,000	104,628,914	942,731
Joi		Jo en	Refunding	3,741,250	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		409,000	8 8 9 8		140,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 	172,200	1	8 1 1 1	286,500	1,160,000	466,000		36,402,175	1
RIMOI		Face Value of Bonde Iseued	Original		360,000	187,000 125,000 62,000	1,000,000	320,000 270,000 50,000	52,170	260,000	806,000	7,870,000 1,156,000 200,000 1,206,000 3,598,000 500,000	1	1,700,000	465,000		1,537,378 1,216,376 121,000	732,000 600,000 115,000 17,000	325,000	98,809,155	942,731
		Regular	Rate in Per Cent	200	60	9 9	04	000	9	(a)	60	စက္တတ္တတ္တတ္တတ	S	9	S IN	4	₩ 4 €	8808	r da		Ф
		Range of Maturities		Jul. 1, 1936-1981 Jul. 1, 1936-1981	Jan. 1, 1931-1950	Jul. 1, 1944-1963 Jen. 1, 1948-1967	Nov. 1, 1927-1946 Sinking Fund 1979	Jan. 1, 1934-1953 Jan. 1, 1947-1966	Jan. 1, 1939-1963	Jan. 1, 1924-1955 Jen. 1, 1941-1970	Jan. 1, 1926-1943	Jen. 1, 1922-1942 Jen. 1, 1922-1945 Jul. 1, 1932-1941 Jen. 1, 1932-1941 Jul. 1, 1941-1960 Jen. 1, 1941-1960 Jen. 1, 1927-1936	Sinking Pund 1968	Jan. 1, 1946-1965	Oct. 1, 1927-1946 Jul. 1, 1927-1946	Jen. 1, 1942-1971	Jul. 1, 1932-1957 Jul. 1, 1936-1964 Jul. 1, 1936-1938	Jan. 1, 1953-1937 Jen. 1, 1959-1961 Jen. 1, 1945-1961 Jan. 1, 1942-1959	Jen. 1, 1930-1954	600000000000000000000000000000000000000	Jul. 1, 1935-1968
			Date of Bonde	0ct. 1, 1931 Oct. 1, 1931	Apr. 1, 1923	Jul. 1, 1923 Mar. 1, 1927	Rov. 1, 1916 Jul. 1, 1933	Mar. 1, 1923 May 1, 1926	May 1, 1923	Jan. 1, 1920 Nov. 1, 1937	Jul. 1, 1921	Jul. 1, 1902 Jub. 1, 1905 Jub. 1, 1910 Jul. 1, 1911 Jul. 1, 1920 Jul. 1, 1920 Jul. 1, 1924 Jul. 1, 1924 Dec. 31, 1924	Jul. 1, 1933	Jen. 1, 1925	Oct. 1, 1916	Jan. 1, 1939	Jul. 1, 1927 Jul. 1, 1935 Jul. 1, 1933	Jul. 1, 1921 Jan. 1, 1924 Jun. 1, 1924 Jan. 1, 1924	Mar.1, 1928		Jul. 1, 1929
		Number	of Bond Issue	lilRef.	п	100	1 Ref.	10	1	1 Ref.	7	1 Fund 2 2 3 4 5 6 6 6 7 7	1 Ref.	1	100	l Ref.	2;1 Div.	2 3 1 Ref.	1		1
			Name of District	South San Josquin	Stineon	Table Mountein	Terra Belle	Thermalito	Tracy-Clover	Tranquillity	Tule	Turlook	Vandalia	Vista	Waterford	West Side	West Stanislaus	Williame (Glenn-Coluss)	Woodbridge	Totals	Buena Vista W.S.D.

N Designates new refunding issues accepted by R.P.C. for loans advanced in purchase of old securities.



DIRECTORY OF CALIFORNIA IRRIGATION DISTRICTS TABLE V


JANUARY 1, 1940

Estimated Population Lasida Outside Cities and Towns Towns 400 E. P. Laney Highfred Sonke
1,600
2,400 600 125 176 900
250 100 250 500 125 125 900 150
1,750 23 9,500 18,000 2,000 2,000 85,500
1,000 800 600 3,000 1,600
16 35 36 4,000 1,000 900 1,100 50,000
1,500 100 5,000 2,200 5,000 35,000
156 395 250 1,400
2,500 300 5,000 1,500 1,500
180 2 000 40 1,500



TABLE V (CONTINUED)
DIRECTORY OF CALIFORNIA INRIGATION DISTRICTS
JANUARY 1, 1940

- 1															_		
		District Office Address	120 South D Street, Madera 1714 - JOhn Street, Sacramento 20. Day 759, Merced 825 - Jith Street, Modesto Montage	Roberts Bailding, Tracy 144 S. Auburn Street, Orass Valley Costa Feaa Costa Mesa	Orange Cove P.O. Box 308, Oroville Palmdale P.O. Box 38, Elythe Paradie	Potter Valley Princeton Willows Ramona Richvale	Riverdale Encinitae Rancho Santa Fe San Yaldro Fort Jone	R.D. No. 1, Box B60, Orange Box 127, Shafter Box 145, Alturas 1100 Mines Avenue, Montebello Manteca	Burel, Freeno County Stratford Route No. 1, Oroville F.O. 50 125, Terra Bella Route 5, Oroville	Roberte Building, Tracy Tranquillity Beebe Building, Tulere Susarville 117 West Main Street, Turlock	Box 1026, Forterville Vista Rivera Atteriord Box 177, Tracy	Westley			Buttonwillow	Box 580, Bakerefleld	Hanford
		Manager or Engineer	Harry Barbes L. W. Resse N. W. Cett	V. J. Beeve Wm. Durbrow	B. C. Tyler H. P. Schoeller C. P. Mahoney F. A. Compton	Erwin Wepf B. N. Argo T. B. Baloh John C. Bergar Alvin L. Harry	V. L. Aitken Herbert Nunn John B. Chase L. Judd	I. R. Althouse W. S. Duncan C. J. Campbell	W. F. Ergant C. J. Sermle Walter Kruechke W. B. Taylor	L. K. Robertson B. V. Helkle	I. H. Althouse Chas. H. Mull Wm. Lebmichi M. C. Monros	W. F. Woolley Chas. H. Widdows			Geo. E. Parker	0. L. Henderson	Roy L. May
	District Officials	Secretary	Harry Barnes Thoe, J. Hateley F. Sargent G. S. Abbott Soy E. Swigart	George Wadsworth B. W. Baldwin R. B. Woodrough D. J. Dodge C. W. Quinlay	A. L. Schoepf Doris Carlson R. P. Schoeller W. E. Fleher D. C. Peck	L. S. Clark W. G. Poage Branche Covert Ruby Bargar Alvin L. Harry	Tine Z. Cushman Berbert Nunn D. W. Bakewell L. Judd W. D. Mathews	F. H. Collina Fred Fritechke C. S. Baldwin Mabel E. Kennedy S. L. Steele	H. R. Stone Alida Marneke C. J. Hermle E. H. Robinson W. H. Taylor	George Madeworth Iva Johnson George A. Moran J. F. McCoy	H. C. Pegram Chas. H. Mull R. D. Croudeh J. B. Browder Wylssy R. Hansen	Hlbridge Smith W. E. Vandiveer	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	crs	J. H. Woolley	0. L. Henderson	Dan Eadsell
		Fresident	J. A. Secara J. Oarbaldi D. E. Bernell Milton L. Kidd M. L. Prather	V. J. Beeve Thos. Mulcahy Geo. A. Waterman Chae. W. Tewinkle Fred N. Merrihew	W. W. Arkley Carleton Gray E. P. Moulton Robert A. Grant E. P. Snier	J. H. March Geo. S. Fonseos Chae. Clark Clara K. Graham Sam Lofgren	L. P. Adams L. L. Bucklew Ira E. Conner L. McKelvey C. P. Bryan	Willard Smith Chas. Westenberg D. E. Van Loan James P. Dilyea Claus Popp	Mm. H. Noble D. C. Jones John Iverson John A. Bowe J. L. Fry	C. P. Puson P. E. Miller E. A. Hesseltine P. C. Farwell E. O. NcCombs	W. D. Emery J. W. Rose F. C. Collins E. Whitney Alfred Pereira	W. W. Cox Elmer J. Shinn		DIRECTORY OF CALIFORNIA WATER STORAGE DISTRICTS	J. Leroy Rickel Jr.	Hugh S. Allen	Earry Lee Martin
	tion	Total	16,000 25,000 30,000 550	150 2,500 7,700	1,580 1,580 5,500	1,000 1,000 1,000	2,500 2,400 1,500	15,000 1,000 15,000	\$5 400 25 500 1,500	25,000	150 4,000 500 1,565	400	428,556	CALIFORNIA	5,200	1	!
	Estimated Population	Outside Clties and Towns	8,500 10,000 9,000 150	9,500	700 850 2255 200 2,500	400 750 150	1,600	8,000 8,000 10,000	25 100 25 500 1,500	200 475 5,000 18,000	150 5,280 500 940 1,098	250	259,182	RECTORY OF	2,700		
	Batiz	Inside Cities and Towns	7,500 115,000 81,000	2,500	730 675 2,500 1,300	1,000	800	8,000 1,000 8,000	300	9,000,7	750 425 554	100	167,174	DI	200		
		County	Madera Colusa Forced Stanislaus	San Joequin	Fresco, Tulere Butte Los Angelse Blueralde	Mendocino	Freances an Diego San Diego San Slego San Slego San Slego San Slego San Slego Slekiyou	Kern Kern Modo Loe Augeles San Joequin	Freenc Kings Butte Chief Butte Butte	San Joaquin	Tulare San Diego Los Angeles Stantlaus San Josquin	Stanisleus, Merced			Kern	Kern	Kinge
		Name of District	Madera Marwell Merced Modesto Nontague	Neglee-Burk Neyport Heighte Newport Heighte Oakdele	Orange Covs	Pottsr Valley	Biverdale San Dieguito Santa Fer San Yafdro Scott Valley	Serrano Shafter-Meso South State Montebello South San Joaquin	Stinson Strafford Table Mountain Terre Bella Therralito	Tracy Clover	Vardalla Vista Vista Velint Materford West Side	West Stanislaus	Totals		Buena Vista Water Storage	North Lern Water Storage	Tulere Lake Besin Water Stor.





THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE

THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE
STAMPED BELOW

BOOKS REQUESTED BY ANOTHER BORROWER ARE SUBJECT TO IMMEDIATE RECALL

OCT 1 3 1992

DEC 17 1992

PHYSICOLLIBRARY

JUN 18 1993

RECEIVED

JUN 0 4 1993

PHYSICAL SCS. LIBRARY

01. 80 3039

RECEIVED

JUL 0 6 1995

PHYSICAL SCS. LIBRARY

LIBRARY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS

Book Slip-Series 458

Calif.

SCIENCES LIBRARY TC824 C2 A2 No21J-210

EIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
DAVIS

111590



